

Date: Sat, 5 Feb 94 04:30:26 PST
From: Ham-Digital Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-digital@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Ham-Digital-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Ham-Digital@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: Bulk
Subject: Ham-Digital Digest V94 #27
To: Ham-Digital

Ham-Digital Digest Sat, 5 Feb 94 Volume 94 : Issue 27

Today's Topics:

 PK-232 vs 1278 ??
 Tekk data radios: Where to find them ?
 WEFAX Question

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Digital@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Digital-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Digital Digest are available
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-digital".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: 3 Feb 1994 14:21:58 -0800
From: library.ucla.edu!agate!howland.reston.ans.net!usc!nic-nac.CSU.net!ctp.org!
not-for-mail@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: PK-232 vs 1278 ??
To: ham-digital@ucsd.edu

penneys@pecan.cns.udel.edu (robert penneys) writes:

> It is my impression that to go to TCP/IP with the 232 may be a bit of a
> problem due to the proprietary nature of how it works (??) I am led to believe
> that a TAPR clone, such as the 1270, may be a better choice, and that the 1278
> would do equally well, if I also want the other modes.

No, there should not be a problem running NOS (tcp/ip) using a PK-232.
Unless I'm doing something no one else is doing (or the other way
around), I haven't had a problem running it on my 232.

Gary Lau, N6MMM
garlau@ctp.org
glau@ccmail.com

In article <schwarz-030294150028@150.220.61.78>, schwarz@kodak.com (Doug Schwarz) wrote:

> In article <2ipmns\$bve@dartvax.dartmouth.edu>,
> Kenneth.E.Harker@Dartmouth.Edu (Kenneth E. Harker) wrote:
> > So, I set the radio to 8080kHz according to some post I
> > saw go by.
>
> If I remember correctly, 8080kHz is not used very much any longer. There
> are two other frequencies used now: 3.something and 10.something MHz. I
> don't remember the exact freq's offhand but I will check when I get home;
> they are programmed into the memories of my tranceiver. If anybody has a
> complete list handy, could you post it please?

I checked my tranceiver. Try 3357 and 10865 kHz.

Doug Schwarz, KE2MW
Eastman Kodak Company
schwarz@kodak.com

End of Ham-Digital Digest V94 #27

